arXiv:1405.5034v1 [math.FA] 20 May 2014

Two classes of Meir-Keeler contractions

L. Găvruţa, P. Găvruţa, F. Khojasteh

Abstract. In the present paper, we prove that $\mathcal{Z}-$contractions and weakly type contractions are actually Meir-Keeler contractions.

Keywords Fixed point, Z-contraction, Meir-Keeler contraction, weakly type contractions

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54H25; Secondary 47H10

Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \to X$ be a mapping. T is called a Banach contraction on X if there exist $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ so that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \lambda d(x, y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

S. Banach proved in [2] that T has a unique fixed point u in X and for every $x_0 \in X$ the Picard sequence $\{x_n\}$, where $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to the fixed point of T. After this result, a large number of generalizations were obtained. See, for example, the book of I.A. Rus et al. [8] and the articles [3] and [7].

Definition 1 *We say that T is a Meir-Keeler contraction if given an $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta \text{ implies } d(Tx, Ty) < \varepsilon,$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

In [6] it is proved the following Theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a Meir-Keeler contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point u and the Picard sequence $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ converges to the fixed point of T.*

In [5], the authors introduced a new class of contractions, called $\mathcal{Z}-$contractions.

Definition 2 *A mapping $\zeta : [0,\infty) \times [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a simulation function if it satisfies the following conditions*

i) $\zeta(0,0) = 0$*;*

ii) $\zeta(t,s) < s - t$*, for all* $t, s > 0$*;*

iii) if $\{t_n\}$*,* $\{s_n\}$ *are sequences in* $(0,\infty)$ *such that* $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} s_n > 0$ *then* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0$

We denote by $\mathcal{Z}$ *the set of all simulation functions.*

Definition 3 *T is called a $\mathcal{Z}$-contraction if*

$$\zeta(d(Tx,Ty), d(x,y)) \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

The authors of the paper [5] proved the following Theorem.

Theorem 2 *Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and T a $\mathcal{Z}$-contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point u and the Picard sequence $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ converges to u.*

We prove that the above result is follows from the following Theorem.

Theorem 3 *A $\mathcal{Z}$-contraction is a Meir-Keeler contraction contraction.*

Proof. Let be $T : X \to X$ a $\mathcal{Z}$-contraction. We suppose that T is not a Meir-Keeler. Then there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so that for any $\delta > 0$ there is $x_\delta, y_\delta \in X$ so that

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq d(x_\delta, y_\delta) < \varepsilon_0 + \delta \tag{1}$$

and

$$d(Tx_\delta, Ty_\delta) \geq \varepsilon_0. \tag{2}$$

We take $\delta = \dfrac{1}{n}$, $n \geq 1$ natural number. It follows that there are two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset X$ so that

$$d(x_n, y_n) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad n \geq 1 \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, y_n) = \varepsilon_0 \tag{3}$$

and

$$d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \geq \varepsilon_0. \tag{4}$$

Since T is a $\mathcal{Z}-$contraction, there is ζ a simulation function so that

$$0 \leq \zeta(d(Tx_n, Ty_n), d(x_n, y_n)). \tag{5}$$

From (4), (5)and the condition $ii)$ in Definition 2 it follows

$$0 \leq \zeta(d(Tx_n, Ty_n), d(x_n, y_n)) < d(x_n, y_n) - d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \leq d(x_n, y_n) - \varepsilon_0.$$

It follows

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta(d(Tx_n, Ty_n), d(x_n, y_n)) = 0 \tag{6}$$

But $\varepsilon_0 \leq d(Tx_n, Ty_n) < d(x_n, y_n) - \zeta(d(Tx_n, Ty_n), d(x_n, y_n))$ witch implies $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, Ty_n) = \varepsilon_0$.

From condition $iii)$ in Definition 2 we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \zeta(d(Tx_n, Ty_n), d(x_n, y_n)) < 0$$

in contradiction with relation (6).

The next result generalizes the main results from [4] and [7]. See [1].

Theorem 4 *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \to X$ be such that*

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \alpha(d(x, y)) - \beta(d(x, y)), \tag{7}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi, \alpha, \beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are such that ψ is continuous and non-decreasing, α is continuous, β is lower semi-continuous,

$$\psi(t) = 0 \text{ if and only if } t = 0, \alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 0, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\psi(t) - \alpha(t) + \beta(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t > 0. \tag{9}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

We consider the following generalization of contractions.

Definition 4 *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T : X \to X$ be such that*

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \alpha(d(x, y)) - \beta(d(x, y)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi, \alpha, \beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ are such that ψ is non-decreasing, α is continuous, β is lower semi-continuous, and

$$\psi(t) - \alpha(t) + \beta(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

Then we say that T is a weakly type contraction.

Theorem 5 *If T is a weakly type contraction, then T is a Meir-Keeler contraction.*

Proof. We suppose that T is not a Meir-Keeler contraction. Then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \subset X$ such that

$$\varepsilon_0 \leq d(x_n, y_n) < \varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{n}$$

and

$$d(Tx_n, Ty_n) \geq \varepsilon_0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We have $\Psi(d(Tx_n, Ty_n)) \geq \Psi(\varepsilon_0)$ and $\Psi(d(Tx_n, Ty_n)) \leq \alpha(d(x_n, y_n)) - \beta(d(x_n, y_n))$. It follows

$$\Psi(\varepsilon_0) \leq \alpha(d(x_n, y_n)) - \beta(d(x_n, y_n))$$

or

$$\beta(d(x_n, y_n)) \leq \alpha(d(x_n, y_n)) - \Psi(\varepsilon_0).$$

Using the continuity of α, we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \beta(d(x_n, y_n)) \leq \alpha(\varepsilon_0) - \Psi(\varepsilon_0)$$

Since β lower semi-continuous, it follows $\beta(\varepsilon_0) \leq \alpha(\varepsilon_0) - \Psi(\varepsilon_0)$, in contradiction with the hypothesis. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 5, we have the following Corollary:

Corollary 1 *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and T be a weakly type contraction. Then T has a unique fixed point u and the Picard sequence $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$ converges to the fixed point of T.*

References

[1] H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, B. Samet, Remarks on some recent fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory and Appl.,2012:76, doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-76.

[2] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans lesensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 3(1922) 133–181.

[3] L. Cădariu, L. Găvruţa, P. Găvruţa, Fixed Points and Generalized Hyers-Ulam Stability, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2012 (2012), Article ID 712743, 10 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/712743.

[4] P.N. Dutta, B.S. Choudhury, A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Appl. 2008, ID 406368, 8 pages.

[5] F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla, S. Radenovic, A new type of fixede point theorem in metric space via the simulation function, Filomat, to appear.

[6] A. Meir, E. Keeler, A theorem on Contraction Mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 28(1969) 326–329.

[7] B.E. Rhoades, Some theorems on weakly contractive maps, Nonlinear Anal. (TMA) 47(2011) 2683–2693.

[8] I.A. Rus, A. Petruşel, G. Petruşel, Fixed Point Theory, Cluj University Press, 2008, 514 pp.

L. Găvruţa, P. Găvruţa
Department of Mathematics, Politehnica University of Timişoara,
Piaţa Victoriei no.2, 300006 Timişoara, România
E-mail: gavruta_laura@yahoo.com
pgavruta@yahoo.com

F. Khojasteh
Department of Mathematics, Arak-Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Arak, Iran.
E-mail: f-khojaste@iau-arak.ac.ir
fr_khojasteh@yahoo.com